

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Hodson
<b>Given Name</b>	Andrew
<b>Person ID</b>	1286039
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Hodson
<b>Given Name</b>	Andrew
<b>Person ID</b>	1286039
<b>Title</b>	JPA 26: Land at Hazelhurst Farm
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The land being used is the removal of greenbelt and is located close to the worsley woods area which would affect the local wildlife due to the increase of housing. It will damage the ecology of the land due to increased pollution from an increase in traffic and the destruction of habitat. As well as this damage, there does not appear to be any preparation for an increase of potentially around 800 new vehicles in the area where there is already congestion due to limited road access routes. Access to and from the area is already severely limited and the increase in traffic will significantly increase in pollution to the area.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	The removal of this plan will be the best modification to not cause such issues.
<b>Family Name</b>	Hodson
<b>Given Name</b>	Andrew
<b>Person ID</b>	1286039
<b>Title</b>	JPA 27: Land East of Boothstown
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The requirement for this housing development is completely unnecessary. Similar to the hazelhurst farm development, it is being built upon greenbelt land and will damage the habitats of wildlife in many ways. The destruction of such habitats and development of housing does this, as well as the increase in traffic and human activity will drive further negative impacts upon the wildlife in this area. Nearby towards the canal there are bats and birds of prey they reside in the trees as well as the fields being used by families of deer. Continuing on the pollution front, the increase to traffic congestion that already severely disrupts the Leigh road route in and out of the area will increase carbon monoxide levels, as well as adding to congestion in what is already a problematic area. The area including Leigh road itself, access to the motorway and that of the east Lancashire road (A580). The area simply does not have the infrastructure to have potentially a further 600 vehicles to access these routes. This is before considering access to the RHS Bridgewater that has already been built in the area that has already caused further congestion to the area. With this added and the other proposals in the area being hazelhurst farm and the mort lane development, there are severe knock on effects to pollution, greenbelt destruction and traffic congestion. On a lighter note too, the fields proposed to be developed on here also have been a safe haven for dog owners and dog walkers in allowing dogs to be off the lead and to exercise. In particular the field near to the marina, it is one of only a few places the dogs are safe off the lead where they are not near to roads or other hindrances. Arguably they can do this when on the canal, however they become disruptive to others who walk or cycle down there and this field has been prioritised from dog owners for this freedom without interruption or having to be kept on a lead. It has also become a great social hub for the likes of dog walkers and owners.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	The removal of this development is the best modification.
<b>Family Name</b>	Hodson
<b>Given Name</b>	Andrew
<b>Person ID</b>	1286039
<b>Title</b>	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	This development appears to far exceed the requirements for homes for the area. 1100 homes being built in such an area along with the other proposed plans will severely increase the density of people to the area. With 1100 homes and the potential for over 2000 cars to be on the road will cause severe pollution increase as well as a significant rise into already problematic traffic congestion issues. The site, although proposed to have multiple access points will severely increase congestion to the neighbouring areas such as an already problematic A580, Leigh Road through Boothstown and access to the motorway. These areas are significantly congested and the addition of these 2000+ cars as well as the 1400 or so from other plans in close proximity will cause such issues in the area. The plan for so many homes will also have detriment to the local wildlife as it borders greenbelt land and will ruin habitats. This proposal is already located close to where many new properties have been built in the mosley common area and as such has changed the dynamics of this small community dramatically. As well as traffic congestion and a major increase in pollution to the area, this proposal being sited near to public transport routes can increase and cause issues for the use of the limited public transport. Again this can have a negative impact to the community and those using the transport for work, leisure or educational purposes.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	The best modification for this development is for it to not go ahead or to have its numbers significantly decreased.